

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/044,289

Applicant(s)

SOKOLIC ET AL.

Examiner

Christopher A. Revak

Art Unit

2131

**All Participants:**(1) Christopher A. Revak.(2) Barbara Courtney.**Status of Application: RCE**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 30 January 2007**Time:** 4:15pm**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

14, 16, and 18-23

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the claims were rejectable under 35 USC 101 in that the specification refers to media and "communications media" and the examiner proposed amending the claims to recite of "storage media" to claim statutory subject matter. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment in order to expedite prosecution..